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Co-development in automotive

- System and Software co-development between teams and companies leads to increase "Model Sharing". It should bring productivity gain…
- However difficulties are regularly faced.

Which kind of model is shared and what is its content?
Model-Sharing to speed up software development

- Simulink models used as executable specification
  - Suppliers use Simulink models as base for code generation due to planning and cost pressure
  - Those Simulink models are initially not intended for code generation purpose

- Different interpretations of what is a good model for code generation
Main issues faced for code generation with customer models

- Models cannot update!
- Usage of forbidden blocks
- Missing model data properties
- Too large models
- Hard to adapt for implementation
- No requirements nor test cases available for verification
- Not compliant with software standards (MDX or AUTOSAR)
- Not compliant with safety standard (ISO26262)
Model adaptation for code generation

- 1\textsuperscript{st} solution: model exchange
  - The original models are enhanced/corrected manually by the supplier and delivered back to the customer

- Drawbacks:
  - no easy exchange,
  - versioning issues, parallel development on customer and supplier side.
Model adaptation for code generation

- 2\textsuperscript{nd} solution: automate some model corrections
  - The original models are automatically enhanced/corrected by the supplier with the help of scripts

- Drawbacks:
  - High investment in scripting + maintenance costs
  - 100% automation not achievable in practice.
Consequences of insufficient model quality

- Developments are delayed
- Lot of time spent in model issues reporting between customers and suppliers
- Higher risk of bugs
- Can lead to additional cost

Need to improve the customer / supplier cooperation with Model-Sharing:

➡️ Model Quality Objectives (MQO)
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Model Quality Objective working group

- Idea emerged from SQO success few years ago

- New working group focused on model (MQO)
  - extended to Bosch
  - consensus-based decisions, ~100h of meetings over 2.5 years, many document reviews

ERTS 2010 conference

ERTS² 2018 conference
→ Please contact MathWorks to access the paper
Goals

- Agree on a state-of-the art for model-based design in the context of software development.

- Establish common expectation on model quality when doing co-development between different parties.

- Help non-software developers to understand how they contribute to software development.

- Clarify impact of successive design stages with Simulink and how to transition from early prototyping to final design.
A software development life cycle supported by different types/levels of design models to fully support MBD.
MQO clarifies exchanges and discussions

Functional model (MQO1)

Architecture model (MQO2)

Component Design model (MQO3)

Component Implementation model (MQO4)
## Model Quality Objectives / Requirements

A set of requirements (MQO) to be able to assess the quality of each type of model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design model name</th>
<th>Quality Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functional model</td>
<td>MQO-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture model</td>
<td>MQO-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component design model</td>
<td>MQO-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component implementation model</td>
<td>MQO-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MQR ID</th>
<th>MQR Title</th>
<th>MQO-1</th>
<th>MQO-2</th>
<th>MQO-3</th>
<th>MQO-4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MQR-01</td>
<td>Model layout</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQR-02</td>
<td>Model comments</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQR-03</td>
<td>Model links to requirements</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQR-04</td>
<td>Model testing against requirements</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQR-05</td>
<td>Model compliance with modeling standard</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQR-06</td>
<td>Model data</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQR-07</td>
<td>Model size</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQR-08</td>
<td>Model complexity</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQR-09</td>
<td>Model coverage</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQR-10</td>
<td>Model robustness</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQR-11</td>
<td>Generated code testing against requirements</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQR-12</td>
<td>Generated code compliance with coding standard</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQR-13</td>
<td>Generated code coverage</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQR-14</td>
<td>Generated code robustness</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQR-15</td>
<td>Generated code execution time</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQR-16</td>
<td>Generated code memory footprint</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example of Model Quality Requirement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MQR-08</th>
<th>Model complexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description</strong></td>
<td>The model and its subsystems, Stateflow charts and MATLAB functions shall have a local cyclomatic complexity lower or equal to &quot;30&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommendation level</strong></td>
<td>MQO-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Notes</strong></td>
<td>Local complexity is the cyclomatic complexity for objects at their hierarchical level. Aggregated cyclomatic complexity is the cyclomatic complexity of an object and its descendants. The threshold of 30 for local cyclomatic complexity is a recommendation and can be adapted on a project basis. The number 30 for Cyclomatic complexity has been derived from the HIS code metric (value of 10) and adapted to Model-Based Design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>References / Examples of techniques</strong></td>
<td>Cyclomatic complexity is a measure of the structural complexity of a model. It approximates the McCabe complexity measure for code generated from the model. The McCabe complexity measure is slightly higher on the generated code due to error checks that the model coverage analysis does not consider. To compute the cyclomatic complexity of an object (such as a block, chart, or state), model coverage uses the following formula: [ c = \sum_{1}^{N} (o_n - 1) ] where ( c ) is the cyclomatic complexity, ( o_n ) is the number of outcomes for the ( n )th decision point, and ( N ) is the number of decision points that the object represents. The tool adds 1 to the complexity number for atomic subsystems and Stateflow charts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rational</strong></td>
<td>Cyclomatic complexity is a leading testability metric. Test harness can be created for simulation at model, subsystem, chart and MATLAB Function level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Last update</strong></td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Guidelines on model reuse
Compatible with existing industry standards

- Complementary and compatible with existing standards
- Provide metrics and threshold to address quality requirements referred in standards
- Additional guidelines on planning phase to define responsibilities, and ensure workflow compatibility.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MBD/MQO</th>
<th>ISO26262</th>
<th>Automotive SPICE</th>
<th>DO-331</th>
<th>EN50128</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Software planning</td>
<td>Initiation of product development at the software level</td>
<td>Initiation of product development at the software level</td>
<td>Software planning</td>
<td>Software planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Software requirements</td>
<td>Specification of software safety requirements</td>
<td>Software requirements analysis</td>
<td>Software requirements</td>
<td>Software requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Software architectural design</td>
<td>Software architectural design</td>
<td>Software architectural design</td>
<td>Software design (architecture and Low level requirements)</td>
<td>Software architecture and design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Software component design and testing</td>
<td>Software unit design and implementation</td>
<td>Software detailed design and unit construction</td>
<td>Software component design</td>
<td>Software component design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Software component implementation and testing</td>
<td>Software unit testing</td>
<td>Software unit verification</td>
<td>Software coding</td>
<td>Software component implementation and testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software integration</td>
<td>Software integration and testing</td>
<td>Software integration and integration test</td>
<td>Software integration</td>
<td>Software integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software testing</td>
<td>Verification of software safety requirements</td>
<td>Software qualification test</td>
<td>Software testing</td>
<td>Software validation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MathWorks metric support

- All MQR can be measured with MathWorks tools
- Simulink Check provides additional metrics for size, architecture, compliance and readability
- Metric dashboard introduced in R2017b is rapidly involving to display and navigate from metrics results to models
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Communication

▪ Internal company communication
  – Communication to engineering teams for feedback (engineering, quality, safety)
  – Presentation to management for approval

▪ Public communication
  – ERTS\textsuperscript{2} 2018 (Toulouse, February 2018)
  – MathWorks Automotive Conference (Stuttgart, April 2018)
  – MATLAB Expo (Paris, June 2018)
  – MathWorks website (to be scheduled)
Example of deployment at Renault / Bosch / Valeo

- **Training**
  - MQO will be part of some standard MBD training for new users. (Renault / Valeo)
  - Large internal communication on MQO is planned for the coming months. (Renault / Valeo / Bosch)

- **Projects**
  - MQO will be integrated in a future version of our Request For Quotation package as an additional informative reference. (Renault)
  - Discuss with customer at the start of new projects (Bosch)

- **Process**
  - MQO will be taken into account as an input for our future software development process. (Renault)
Expected gains

- The organizations that apply MQO should experience the following benefits:
  - Shared understanding of Model-Based Design within the organization
  - Application of a quality model adapted to MBD projects and compatible with industry software quality and safety standards
  - Assessment of model quality at different phases of projects

- The organizations that also collaborate with partners to execute MBD projects should experience the following benefits:
  - Clear split of responsibility between parties at the beginning of projects
  - Common understanding of model quality
  - Common expectation on model quality when sharing models
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Conclusion

- We expect MQO to improve MBD co-development between customers and suppliers.

- We look for feedback to improve MQO:
  - Additional Model Quality Requirements?
  - Additional types of models (e.g. system level)?
  - Applicability in other industries?
Q/A ?